

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,906	10/18/2001	Francois-Xavier Nuttall	46030.00030	5561	
34313	7590 09/22/2005		EXAMINER		
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			REAGAN, JAMES A		
	TION DEPARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4 PARK PLAZ SUITE 1600	ZA		3621		
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/041,906	NUTTALL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
James A. Reagan	3621	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James A. Reagan	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	,						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	`	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	22 24 27 40 45 47 50 50 55 5	0 50 05 00 07					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3, 4, 6-8, 11, 12, 15-18, 23-26, 28-30,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	33, 34, 37-40, 45-47, 50-52, 55, 5	<u>0, 59-05, 80,87</u> .					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut hefore or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will r	not he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of limitations to various claims has altered the scope of the claims and therefore will require additional research to better ascertain the allowability of the pending application.